

Stroke

American Stroke
AssociationSM

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION

A Division of American
Heart Association



Brain Arteriovenous Malformation Multiplicity Predicts the Diagnosis of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia : Quantitative Assessment
Aditya Bharatha, Marie E. Faughnan, Helen Kim, Tony Pourmohamad, Timo Krings, Pinar Bayrak-Toydemir, Ludmila Pawlikowska, Charles E. McCulloch, Michael T. Lawton, Christopher F. Dowd, William L. Young and Karel G. Terbrugge

Stroke published online October 27, 2011

Stroke is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75214
Copyright © 2011 American Heart Association. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0039-2499. Online
ISSN: 1524-4628

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is
located on the World Wide Web at:
<http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/early/2011/10/27/STROKEAHA.111.629865>

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Stroke is online at
<http://stroke.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>

Permissions: Permissions & Rights Desk, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, a division of Wolters
Kluwer Health, 351 West Camden Street, Baltimore, MD 21202-2436. Phone: 410-528-4050. Fax:
410-528-8550. E-mail:
journalpermissions@lww.com

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

Brain Arteriovenous Malformation Multiplicity Predicts the Diagnosis of Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia

Quantitative Assessment

Aditya Bharatha, MD, FRCP(C); Marie E. Faughnan, MD, MSc, FRCP(C); Helen Kim, PhD; Tony Pourmohamad, MA; Timo Krings, MD, PhD, FRCP(C); Pinar Bayrak-Toydemir, MD, PhD; Ludmila Pawlikowska, PhD; Charles E. McCulloch, PhD; Michael T. Lawton, MD; Christopher F. Dowd, MD; William L. Young, MD; Karel G. Terbrugge, MD, FRCP(C)

Background and Purpose—The purpose of this study was to quantitatively estimate the relationship between multiplicity of brain arteriovenous malformations (bAVMs) and the diagnosis of hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT).

Methods—We combined databases from 2 large North American bAVM referral centers, including demographics, clinical presentation, and angiographic characteristics, and compared patients with HHT with non-HHT patients. Logistic regression analysis was performed to quantify the association between bAVM multiplicity and odds of HHT diagnosis. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value, and positive and negative likelihood ratios were calculated to determine accuracy of bAVM multiplicity for screening HHT.

Results—Prevalence of HHT was 2.8% in the combined group. bAVM multiplicity was present in 39% of patients with HHT and was highly associated with diagnosis of HHT in univariate (OR, 83; 95% CI, 40–173; $P < 0.0001$) and multivariable (OR, 86; 95% CI, 38–195; $P < 0.001$) models adjusting for age at presentation ($P = 0.013$), symptomatic presentation ($P = 0.029$), and cohort site ($P = 0.021$). bAVM multiplicity alone was associated with high specificity (99.2%; 95% CI, 98.7%–99.6%) and negative predictive value (98.3%; 95% CI, 97.6%–98.8%) and low sensitivity (39.3%; 95% CI, 26.5%–53.2%) and positive predictive value (59.5%; 95% CI, 42.1%–75.2%). Positive and negative likelihood ratio was 51 and 0.61, respectively, for diagnosis of HHT. HHT bAVMs were also more often smaller in size (< 3 cm), noneloquent in location, and associated with superficial venous drainage compared with non-HHT bAVMs.

Conclusions—Multiplicity of bAVMs is highly predictive of the diagnosis of HHT. The presence of multiple bAVMs should alert the clinician to the high probability of HHT and lead to comprehensive investigation for this diagnosis. (*Stroke*. 2012;43:00-00.)

Key Words: arteriovenous malformation ■ brain ■ hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia

Brain arteriovenous malformations (bAVMs) are abnormal tangles of blood vessels that shunt blood directly from the arterial to venous circulation. Although bAVMs are an important cause of hemorrhagic stroke, especially in children and young adults, little is known about how bAVMs develop and progress.¹ Surgical, endovascular, and radiotherapy-induced obliteration are available for treatment, but each is associated with risk of neurological injury. There are no specific medical therapies to treat bAVMs. bAVMs have a population prevalence of 10 to 18 per 100 000 adults² and a new detection rate of 1.2 to 1.3 per 100 000 person-

years.^{3,4} Most bAVMs are sporadic, but a small percent are associated with hereditary disorders, the most important of which is hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT), which accounts for approximately 2% of cases^{5,6} as estimated in nonpopulation-based case series.

HHT, also known as Osler-Weber-Rendu disease, is a rare autosomal-dominant multisystem disorder characterized by the presence of vascular malformations. Arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) are found in various organs in HHT, including the brain, lungs, liver, and spinal cord. Smaller malformations in HHT, telangiectasia, typically occur on the

Received June 21, 2011; accepted July 20, 2011.

From the Division of Neuroradiology (A.B.), Department of Medical Imaging, St Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; Division of Neuroradiology (T.K., K.G.T.), Department of Medical Imaging, Toronto Western Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; Division of Respirology (M.E.F.) and Keenan Research Centre and Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute (M.E.F.), St Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; the Division of Respirology (M.E.F.), Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; the Center for Cerebrovascular Research (H.K., T.P., L.P., C.E.M., M.T.L., C.F.D., W.L.Y.), Department of Anesthesia and Perioperative Care, the Department of Neurological Surgery (M.T.L., C.F.D., W.L.Y.), the Department of Neurology (C.F.D., W.L.Y.), the Department of Radiology (C.F.D.), the Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics (H.K., C.E.M.), and the Institute for Human Genetics (H.K., L.P.), University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA; and the Department of Pathology (P.B.-T.), University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT.

Correspondence to Marie E. Faughnan, MD, MSc, FRCP(C), St Michael's Hospital, 30 Bond Street, Suite 6049, Toronto, Ontario, M4K-1W7, Canada. E-mail faughnanm@smh.ca

© 2011 American Heart Association, Inc.

Stroke is available at <http://stroke.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.629865

skin and mucosal surfaces, but also the gastrointestinal tract and liver. The prevalence of HHT is estimated at approximately 1 per 5000 to 1 per 10 000.⁷⁻⁹ However, HHT is felt to be underdiagnosed, at least in part due to its variable and age-related clinical expression. For example, the typical clinical diagnostic features of HHT, recurrent epistaxis and mucocutaneous telangiectasia, are often not present until adult life,¹⁰ whereas children can present with complications of bAVMs.¹¹ When HHT is diagnosed in a patient, screening and preventive treatment should then be recommended to that patient and also their affected family members as detailed in the recent International HHT Guidelines.¹² As such, the diagnosis of bAVMs may be an opportunity for prevention in an entire HHT family. This would be particularly feasible if an “HHT phenotype” for bAVMs such as multiplicity can be defined.

bAVMs are present in 5% to 23% of patients with HHT¹³⁻¹⁵ with intracranial hemorrhage rates estimated at 0.41% to 2% per year,^{16,17} although data are limited. One of the most striking features of HHT-related bAVMs noted to date is that there is a greater tendency for bAVMs to be multiple in patients with HHT with bAVM multiplicity reported in as many as 50% of cases in a series of 14 patients with HHT with bAVMs⁵ reported by our group 10 years ago and in approximately 25% of 50 patients with HHT with central nervous system AVMs as reported by the Bicetre group.¹⁸ In addition, the same authors noted that approximately 20% to 43% of HHT bAVMs were micro-AVMs, 29% to 50% were arteriovenous fistulas, and 81% to 100% were cortical in location.^{5,18} The arteriovenous fistulas reported by the Bicetre group were almost all diagnosed in children.¹⁸ The high prevalence of micro-AVMs (nidus <1 cm) among HHT bAVMs has also been noted in other series.^{19,20} Cases of extreme multiplicity have also been reported.¹⁹ There have been no large studies to date, however, comparing bAVMs in patients with HHT and non-HHT patients or any studies quantifying the predictive accuracy of these associations.

The purpose of this study was to quantitatively estimate the relationship between bAVM multiplicity in patients with HHT compared with those with non-HHT bAVMs by analyzing a large population of consecutive patients with bAVM from 2 large North American bAVM referral centers. We found a strong association, which has the clinical implication that the presence of bAVM multiplicity should trigger a thorough search for an underlying diagnosis of HHT. We also reviewed other aspects of the neurovascular phenotype and clinical features of HHT-associated bAVMs compared with non-HHT bAVMs.

Methods

Study Population

We combined data from 2 large bAVM referral centers in North America. Each center maintains a prospective registry and database of all bAVM cases referred for evaluation and management.

The UHN Toronto Brain Vascular Malformation Study Group is based at a tertiary academic referral center (University Health Network [UHN]) of the University of Toronto. The UHN group receives referrals of patients with bAVM from clinical centers across Ontario (90%), other Canadian provinces (8%), and non-Canadian

centers (2%). The UHN Toronto Brain Vascular Malformation Study Group is also a referral center for patients with bAVM from 2 HHT Centers of Excellence, the Toronto HHT Centre and the Yale University HHT Center. The Toronto database includes all patients with a confirmed bAVM diagnosis referred to the UHN Toronto Brain Vascular Malformation Study Group from 1984 to 2009.

Because the University of Toronto is also home to an HHT Center of Excellence, based at St Michael's Hospital, patients in the UHN series with any clinical suspicion of HHT were routinely referred to the Toronto HHT Centre and underwent full clinical assessment for HHT as well as screening for pulmonary AVMs as per International HHT Guidelines.¹²

The University of California at San Francisco (UCSF) is a tertiary academic referral center and receives referrals of patients with bAVM from the San Francisco Bay Area and Northern California as well as some out-of-state referrals. The UCSF database includes all patients with a confirmed bAVM diagnosis who were referred to UCSF for evaluation and management from March 2000 to June 2010.

Definitions

Data fields from both databases were harmonized and combined into a single data set that included demographics, clinical presentation, type of imaging available, and morphological features of bAVMs.

We used definitions whenever possible as described in the Joint Writing Group document²¹ such as the definition of “symptomatic presentation” of bAVM, which includes hemorrhage, seizure, neurological deficit, and “other” related symptoms. The diagnosis of bAVM required neuroradiological confirmation of intracranial AVM with imaging (CT/CT angiography, MR/MR angiography, or angiography [digital subtraction angiography]) or surgical pathology. Multiplicity was defined as the presence of ≥ 2 discrete intracranial bAVMs.

The diagnosis of HHT was based on clinical diagnostic criteria and/or genetic mutation results, when available.^{12,22} The HHT clinical diagnostic criteria, also known as the Curaçao Criteria, are consensus criteria first published in 2000 and widely used for HHT diagnosis. The Curaçao Criteria were also upheld in the recent International HHT Guidelines. The criteria are: (1) spontaneous recurrent nosebleeds; (2) mucocutaneous telangiectasia at characteristic sites (lips, oral cavity, nose, or fingers); (3) visceral involvement such as pulmonary, hepatic, or central nervous system AVMs; and (4) an affected first-degree relative according to these criteria.

Patients were classified²² as “definite HHT” when at least 3 criteria were present, “possible HHT” when 2 criteria, or “unlikely HHT” when 1 or no criteria. We included “possible HHT” in the HHT group given the age-related expression of HHT and the younger age of our study population and therefore the expected absence of full clinical criteria in many patients. Data for the clinical diagnosis of HHT were obtained from routine clinical assessment. All patients assessed for bAVM at the UHN Center were asked about family history of cerebrovascular disease as well as assessed for the possibility of HHT with routine questions about personal epistaxis history as well as family history of HHT. At the UCSF Center, all patients assessed for bAVM were asked about familial cerebrovascular disease, but not routinely asked about personal epistaxis history or a family history of HHT.

Imaging Data Collection

The majority of cases underwent complete (3- or 4-vessel) catheter angiography and a subset underwent superselective angiography. The number of bAVMs was determined using digital subtraction angiography data where available. If digital subtraction angiography was not available, then the number of bAVMs was determined using other available information (ie, results of cross-sectional imaging studies and surgical records). Angioarchitectural data including morphology, size, location, arterial supply, and venous drainage of the AVM(s) were recorded where available.

Analysis

HHT and non-HHT patients and site differences were evaluated using descriptive statistics, including *t* tests for continuous variables and χ^2 tests for categorical variables. Logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the relationship between HHT status (outcome) and bAVM multiplicity (predictor). Variables associated with HHT status at $P < 0.05$ in univariate logistic regression models were included as covariates in multivariable logistic models. Because UHN is also a HHT referral center, we evaluated the possible interaction effect of site (UHN versus UCSF) with predictors in our models by including interaction terms. However, no significant interactions ($P > 0.10$) were observed between site and bAVM multiplicity or any other predictors. Thus, the data were combined and all regression models were adjusted for site.

We calculated the specificity, sensitivity, positive and negative predictive values (PPV and NPV, respectively), and positive and negative likelihood ratios (LRs) to characterize how well multiple bAVM predicted HHT diagnosis. These measures can be defined by the number of true-positives, true-negatives, false-positives, and false-negatives. Specificity is calculated as true-positive/(true-positive+false-negative); sensitivity is calculated as true-negative/(false-positive+true-negative). PPV is calculated as true-positive/(true-positive+false-positive) and depends on the prevalence of the outcome. Likewise, NPV is calculated as true-negative/(false-negative+true-negative). For dichotomous tests, the LR for a positive test is sensitivity/(1-specificity) and for a negative test is (1-sensitivity)/specificity. Exact binomial 95% CIs were calculated for sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV; 95% CIs for positive and negative LR were calculated using the δ method. Generalized estimating equations were used to check for differences in the univariate and multivariable ORs between the full data set and specific subsets of the data. Data were analyzed using Intercooled Stata, Version 11 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX).

Results

A total of 1989 patients with bAVM were included in the analysis (723 from UCSF and 1266 from UHN). Demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1 for the combined population and stratified by HHT and non-HHT status. Overall, the prevalence of HHT was 2.8% and multiplicity was present in 1.9% of the combined population. Ethnicity data were not available for the UHN subgroup.

Gender distribution was similar, but age at presentation was younger in the HHT group compared with the non-HHT group ($P = 0.002$). bAVM multiplicity was more frequent in the HHT group (39%) compared with the non-HHT group (1%; $P < 0.0001$). There was a greater prevalence of symptomatic clinical presentation ($P = 0.032$) in the non-HHT group with a trend ($P = 0.065$) toward increased presentation with hemorrhage in the same group.

Univariate logistic regression results are detailed in Table 2 assessing the association of demographic and clinical characteristics with HHT. These univariate models identified age at presentation ($P = 0.002$; OR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.65–0.91), symptomatic presentation ($P = 0.035$; OR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.30–0.96), bAVM multiplicity ($P < 0.001$; OR, 83; 95% CI, 40–173), and cohort site ($P = 0.005$; OR, 2.69; 95% CI, 1.35–5.36) as predictors of HHT with the UHN site having a greater prevalence of HHT patients (3.6% versus 1.4%) as expected. Multivariable logistic regression analysis using all significant univariate predictors is shown in Table 3. bAVM multiplicity was found to be the strongest predictor of HHT ($P < 0.001$; OR, 86; 95% CI, 38–195) after adjusting for age at presentation, symptomatic presentation, and cohort site.

Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of bAVM Cases for Combined Cohort Stratified by HHT Status

Characteristics	Total (n=1989)	HHT (n=56)	Non-HHT (n=1933)	P
Demographic				
Gender				
Male	1021 (51)	23 (41)	998 (52)	0.119
Female	968 (49)	33 (59)	935 (48)	
Age at presentation, decades	3.65 ± 1.8	2.87 ± 1.6	3.67 ± 1.8	0.002
Ethnicity				
Caucasian	374 (19)	7 (13)	367 (19)	0.334
Black	51 (3)	0 (0)	51 (3)	
Hispanic	184 (9)	2 (4)	182 (9)	
Asian	97 (5)	1 (2)	96 (5)	
American Indian	9 (<1)	0 (0)	9 (1)	
Unknown*	1267 (64)	41 (81)	1226 (63)	
Clinical				
HHT diagnosis				
No	1933 (97)			
Yes	56 (3)			
Multiple bAVMs				
No	1952 (98)	34 (61)	1918 (99)	<0.001
Yes	37 (2)	22 (39)	15 (1)	
No. of bAVMs				
1	1952 (98)	34 (61)	1918 (99)	<0.001
2	25 (1)	14 (25)	11 (<1)	
3	7 (<1)	5 (8)	2 (<1)	
4	4 (<1)	2 (4)	2 (<1)	
≥4	1 (<1)	1 (2)	0 (0)	
Angiography				
No	439 (22)	9 (17)	430 (22)	0.395
Yes	1544 (78)	43 (83)	1501 (78)	
Symptomatic presentation				
No	436 (22)	18 (34)	418 (22)	0.032
Yes	1549 (78)	35 (66)	1514 (78)	
Hemorrhagic presentation				
No	1183 (60)	40 (71)	1143 (59)	0.065
Yes	806 (40)	16 (29)	790 (41)	

Numbers are presented as no. (%) for categorical variables and mean ± SD for continuous variables.

bAVM indicates brain arteriovenous malformation; HHT, hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia; SD, standard deviation.

*No available information existed about the ethnicities of patients from the University Health Network cohort.

We checked the robustness of our OR estimates for bAVM multiplicity by intentionally misclassifying some patient outcomes and re-estimating these ORs. We falsely increased the number of cases by 50% and found bAVM multiplicity was still a very strong predictor of HHT ($P < 0.001$; OR, 53; 95% CI, 26–108), which was consistent with our original results.

Table 4 summarizes the results of using bAVM multiplicity as a “diagnostic test” for HHT either alone (univariate) or in conjunction with other significant predictors (multivari-

Table 2. Univariate Analysis of Risk Factors for Predicting HHT in Patients With bAVM

Variable	No.	OR	95% CI	P
Age, decade	1937	0.77	0.65–0.91	0.002
Gender, male	1989	0.65	0.38–1.12	0.122
Hemorrhagic presentation	1989	0.58	0.32–1.04	0.068
Symptomatic Presentation	1985	0.54	0.30–0.96	0.035
Multiple bAVM	1989	82.74	39.53–173.19	<0.001
Angiography	1983	1.37	0.66–2.83	0.380
Site (UHN)	1989	2.69	1.35–5.36	0.005

HHT indicates hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia; bAVM, brain arteriovenous malformation; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; UHN, University Health Network.

able). Having multiple bAVMs results in high specificity (>99%) but low sensitivity (<40.0%) for predicting HHT status. Among those who test positive (multiple bAVMs), the probability of having HHT was 60% to 81% (PPV) depending on the model used. Among those who test negative (single bAVM), the probability of not having HHT is 98% (NPV). PPV and NPV are well-known to be sensitive to prevalence. Even at a low prevalence site like UCSF, the PPV using the multivariate model was estimated to be 0.69 (compared with 0.81 overall). Using the multivariate model, the NPVs for UCSF and UHN (a high prevalence site) were 0.99 and 0.98, respectively. The positive LR was 51 (univariate) and 160 (multivariable), indicating that when a patient presents with multiple bAVMs, there is a very high likelihood of having HHT. In contrast, having only a single bAVM does not clearly rule out the diagnosis of HHT, as indicated by a negative LR of 0.61 to 0.66.

In secondary analysis, we compared angiographic characteristics of HHT with non-HHT bAVMs (Table 5). Patients with HHT had a total of 89 bAVMs with a mean of 1.7 ± 1.4 , ranging from 1 to >4 lesions. Size of bAVMs was significantly different ($P < 0.001$) between groups with a greater proportion of small bAVMs (<3 cm) in the HHT group. Eloquentness was significantly greater with non-HHT bAVMs ($P = 0.020$). Venous drainage was significantly different ($P < 0.001$) with a predominance of superficial drainage in HHT bAVMs. There were no other significant differences in regard to location (rates of lobar, deep, and posterior fossa bAVMs). Angiography is an important mechanism in diagnosing HHT, and because roughly one fifth of the patients did

Table 3. Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis in 1937 Patients in UCSF and UHN Cohorts Combined

Variable	OR	95% CI	P
Age, decade	0.79	0.66–0.95	0.013
Symptomatic presentation	0.46	0.23–0.92	0.029
Multiple bAVM	86.35	38.20–195.15	<0.001
Site (UHN)	2.52	1.15–5.54	0.021

UCSF indicates University of California, San Francisco; UHN, University Health Network; bAVM, brain arteriovenous malformation; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

Table 4. Results of Using bAVM Multiplicity for the Diagnosis of HHT

Diagnostic	Univariate		Multivariable	
	Model	95% CI	Model*	95% CI
Sensitivity	0.393	0.265–0.532	0.340	0.212–0.488
Specificity	0.992	0.987–0.996	0.998	0.995–0.999
PPV	0.595	0.421–0.752	0.810	0.581–0.946
NPV	0.983	0.976–0.988	0.983	0.976–0.988
Positive LR	50.63	20.25–81.01	160.40	–8.40 to 329.19
Negative LR	0.61	0.48–0.74	0.66	0.53–0.79

bAVM indicates brain arteriovenous malformation; HHT, hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; LR, likelihood ratio; CI, confidence interval.

*Includes age in decades, symptomatic presentation, bAVM multiplicity, and cohort site.

not undergo angiography, we checked for differences between the OR based on the subset of patients that underwent angiography compared with the full data set. Neither the OR for bAVM multiplicity from the univariate model nor the OR for bAVM multiplicity from the multivariable model was

Table 5. Angiographic Characteristics of HHT bAVMs Compared With Non-HHT bAVMs

Characteristics	HHT (n=56)	Non-HHT (n=1933)	P
No. of bAVMs	89	1954	
Lobar			0.465
No	21 (27)	594 (31)	
Yes	58 (73)	1352 (69)	
Deep			0.358
No	53 (90)	1667 (86)	
Yes	6 (10)	274 (14)	
Posterior fossa			0.713
No	52 (93)	1823 (94)	
Yes	4 (7)	111 (6)	
Eloquent			0.020
No	37 (55)	684 (39)	
Yes	30 (45)	1090 (61)	
Venous drainage			<0.001
Deep venous	11 (18)	748 (45)	
Superficial	46 (75)	794 (47)	
Both	4 (7)	132 (8)	
Size			<0.001
<3 cm	37 (88)	993 (60)	
≥3 cm	5 (12)	662 (40)	
Spetzler-Martin score			<0.001
1	28 (44)	255 (15)	
2	24 (37)	481 (30)	
3	8 (12)	538 (33)	
4	1 (2)	294 (18)	
5	3 (5)	57 (4)	

Numbers are presented as no. (%).

HHT indicates hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia; bAVMs, brain arteriovenous malformations.

Table 6. Detailed Information Regarding Clinical Characteristics in Patients With HHT

Characteristics	HHT (n=56)
Family history of HHT	
No	6 (12)
Yes	45 (88)
Epistaxis	
No	8 (19)
Yes	34 (81)
Telangiectasia	
No	6 (14)
Yes	36 (85)
Pulmonary AVM	
No	24 (57)
Yes	18 (43)
GI bleed	
No	35 (83)
Yes	7 (17)
Clinical criteria for diagnosis of HHT	
At least 3	36 (82)
2	7 (16)
1	1 (2)
HHT genetic testing results	
ACVRL1 mutation	5 (9)
ACVRL1 VUS	0 (0)
Endoglin mutation	25 (44)
Endoglin VUS	1 (2)
SMAD4 mutation	0 (0)
SMAD4 VUS	0 (0)
No mutation detected	4 (7)
Not done or not available	21 (38)

Numbers are presented as no. (%).

HHT indicates hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia; AVM, arteriovenous malformation; GI, gastrointestinal; VUS, variant of unknown significance.

significantly different from the estimates found using the full data set (OR_{full} , 83, OR_{subset} , 71, $P=0.219$; and OR_{full} , 86, OR_{subset} , 71, $P=0.274$, respectively). We had data regarding bAVM morphology subclassification (arteriovenous fistula versus nidus versus micro-AVM, etc) in only 7% of patients; thus, we were not able to analyze this variable.

Detailed information regarding clinical features and genetic testing results in the patients with HHT is presented in Table 6. Telangiectasias were present in 85%, epistaxis in 81%, pulmonary AVMs in 43%, and gastrointestinal bleeding in 17% of patients with HHT. Genetic testing results were available in 35 of 56 (63%), with *ENG* mutation in 25 of 35 (71%), *ACVRL1* mutation in 5 of 35 (14%), variants of unknown significance in 1 of 35 (2%), and no evidence of mutation in 4 of 35 (11%). Further sensitivity analysis was conducted to check for potential differences in estimated ORs between the full data set and those patients that did not receive genotyping. There was no difference for the univariate OR for bAVM multiplicity (OR_{full} , 86, OR_{subset} , 58, $P=0.219$) as well no difference for the multivariable OR for

bAVM multiplicity (OR_{full} , 83, OR_{subset} , 53, $P=0.274$) between the full data set and the subset of patients that did not undergo genotyping.

Discussion

We have quantified the association of bAVM multiplicity with HHT diagnosis in a large referral series of patients with bAVM. The odds of HHT was 86-fold higher in those with multiple bAVMs with high specificity, NPV, and positive LR. The clinical implication is that HHT should be strongly suspected in any patient with bAVM with multiple lesions.

Multiplicity of bAVMs has been reported in case reports and small case series to date of patients with HHT^{5,18} and estimated to be present in up to 50% of patients with HHT with bAVMs. In our study, we report bAVM multiplicity in 39% of patients with HHT and have compared this with a large database of non-HHT patients from 2 bAVM referral centers to quantify the association. The OR is highly significant with excellent specificity and positive LR for the diagnosis of HHT. In other words, if a clinician detects multiple lesions in a patient with bAVM, there is a very high likelihood that the patient has HHT and further diagnostic assessment should be pursued. However, given the low sensitivity and negative LR of bAVM multiplicity for HHT diagnosis, it cannot be concluded that the presence of only a single bAVM rules out the diagnosis of HHT. The clinician should therefore always consider the diagnosis of HHT in patients with bAVM, but suspicion should be much greater in patients with multiple bAVMs and comprehensive assessment should be undertaken in these cases to rule out the diagnosis of HHT.

The clinical relevance of diagnosing HHT in this context is severalfold. First, it allows for appropriate screening for pulmonary AVMs in the newly diagnosed patient, because approximately 30% of patients with HHT have pulmonary AVMs and preventive treatment is recommended.¹² Second, the diagnosis of HHT in 1 patient can lead to the diagnosis of other family members, because HHT is an autosomal-dominant disorder. HHT is an underdiagnosed disorder with estimates of undiagnosed cases in the range of 70% in North America²³ and therefore it is entirely plausible that, for example, a child presenting with bAVMs may be the index case for an undiagnosed HHT family, although many adult family members actually have epistaxis. Because HHT genetic testing is now available, identifying the index case can lead to identification of the causative familial mutation and subsequent genetic diagnosis of asymptomatic family members.

The prevalence of HHT in our combined bAVM population was 2.8%, but as high as 3.6% in the UHN series, similar to rates reported in other series, including our group.⁶ However, given that HHT is frequently undiagnosed, disease expression is age-related (and therefore children and young adults often do not have the typical symptoms) and that we have not performed HHT genetic testing routinely in all bAVM cases, there may be unrecognized cases in our series and even the 3.6% may be an underestimate.

The analysis revealed other factors associated with HHT among patients with bAVM, including earlier age at presen-

tation and being asymptomatic at diagnosis, but for obvious reasons, these are less clinically useful than bAVM multiplicity for predicting HHT. In secondary analyses, bAVMs in patients with HHT also tended to be smaller in size than those not associated with HHT. This is in keeping with previous reports of a high prevalence of micro-AVMs in HHT, although in our data set, we did not have morphological subclassification (arteriovenous fistulas, nidus-type, micro-AVMs, etc) data in a sufficient number of patients to analyze. In addition, HHT bAVMs generally had superficial venous drainage, in keeping with previous reports.^{5,18}

The clinical features of our identified HHT group are similar to those in HHT series in the literature.^{24–28} The prevalence of epistaxis and telangiectasia is lower than reported for older adult HHT populations but as expected for a younger population such as ours given that the expression of these clinical features is known to be age-related in HHT. The prevalence of pulmonary AVMs in the HHT group is in the same range as that described for patients with HHT of all ages in published series. In other words, it is reasonable to conclude that the bAVM characteristics of the patients with HHT identified in this series are generalizable to those of patients with HHT in general.

Despite increasing literature about the genetics and mechanisms of disease in HHT, the clinical heterogeneity, as evidenced by variability in organ involvement, remains poorly understood. The 2 main subtypes of HHT (HHT1 and 2) are caused by loss-of-function mutations in 2 genes²⁹ originally implicated in transforming growth factor- β signaling pathways. The first is endoglin (*ENG*), which encodes an accessory protein of transforming growth factor- β receptor complexes. The second is activin receptor-like kinase 1 (*ALK-1* or *ACVRL1*), which codes for a transmembrane kinase also thought to participate in transforming growth factor- β signaling. A third candidate gene for HHT is *SMAD4*, encoding a downstream mediator for both transforming growth factor- β and BMP signaling. *SMAD4* is mutated in a combined syndrome of juvenile polyposis and HHT.³⁰ Two additional independent loci, termed HHT3 and HHT4, have been reported^{31,32} but the genes underlying these less common forms of HHT have yet to be identified. *ENG* mutations are associated with bAVMs^{25,27} and pulmonary AVMs,^{25–27} but the role of *ENG* mutation in the mechanism of AVM development remains to be elucidated and it remains unclear why not all affected patients in *ENG* families have AVMs in these organs. The distribution of genetic mutation results in our series, with 5 \times greater prevalence of *ENG* compared with *ACVRL1* mutations, reflects the association between *ENG* and bAVMs, as expected.

The role of these HHT-related genes in sporadic bAVM cases is not clear. However, a common intronic variant of *ACVRL1*, IVS3–35A>G, has been found to be associated with bAVMs³³ and independently replicated by another group.^{34,35} This single nucleotide polymorphism may be associated with alternative splicing. Thus, common variation in a gene that, when mutated, causes HHT, may also contribute to the sporadic AVM phenotype. Recently a concept has emerged that a response to a perturbation or injury appears to be a necessary component to initiate

vascular dysplasia,^{36–38} which is hypothesized to be an early stage of bAVM development. This might suggest that even in sporadic cases, there is some kind of underlying genetic variation that, when exposed to an altered environment, can produce the sequence of events that results in the human sporadic phenotype.

There are some potential limitations to the study that warrant discussion. The most significant is that of referral bias on 2 separate levels. First, because Toronto is also an HHT referral center, bAVM cases seen at the UHN site may have been more likely to receive a clinical diagnosis of HHT and get referred for follow-up tests leading to confirmation of the diagnosis. This is likely part of the explanation for the higher prevalence of HHT in the UHN cohort compared with the UCSF cohort. However, there was no significant interaction between bAVM multiplicity and site, and the association between bAVM multiplicity and HHT diagnosis remained significant after adjustment for site. Second, because the UHN site receives referrals from HHT Centers of Excellence, who routinely screen asymptomatic patients with HHT for bAVMs, it is not surprising that more of the patients with HHT would be asymptomatic and younger at presentation compared with the non-HHT patients. Despite these biases, the strong association described here between bAVM multiplicity and HHT diagnosis should be generalizable to other referral centers that evaluate bAVMs. Finally, we do not have detailed morphological classification data of HHT and non-HHT bAVMs and therefore this aspect of HHT bAVMs remains to be systematically compared with non-HHT bAVMs.

In conclusion, multiplicity of bAVMs is highly predictive of the diagnosis of HHT and patients with multiple bAVMs should undergo comprehensive assessment to rule out the diagnosis. Future research is needed to guide therapeutic decisions in patients with bAVM and particularly in HHT cases with bAVMs, in whom the risk of hemorrhage remains insufficiently evaluated.

Sources of Funding

This project was supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Health: U54 NS065705 (Brain Vascular Malformation Consortium) and R01 NS034949; other financial support for M.E.F.: Keenan Research Centre and Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute of St Michael's Hospital; Nelson Arthur Hyland Foundation.

Disclosures

None.

References

- Kim H, Pawlikowska L, Young WL. Genetics and vascular biology of brain vascular malformations. In: Mohr JP, Wolf PA, Grotta JC, Moskowitz MA, Mayberg M, von Kummer R, eds. *Stroke: Pathophysiology, Diagnosis and Management*. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier; 2011.
- Al-Shahi R, Fang JS, Lewis SC, Warlow CP. Prevalence of adults with brain arteriovenous malformations: a community based study in Scotland using capture-recapture analysis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2002; 73:547–551.
- Stapf C, Mohr JP, Pile-Spellman J, Solomon RA, Sacco RL, Connolly ES Jr. Epidemiology and natural history of arteriovenous malformations. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2001;11:e1.

4. Gabriel RA, Kim H, Sidney S, McCulloch CE, Singh V, Johnston SC, et al. Ten-year detection rate of brain arteriovenous malformations in a large, multiethnic, defined population. *Stroke*. 2010;41:21–26.
5. Matsubara S, Mandzia JL, ter Brugge K, Willinsky RA, Faughnan ME. Angiographic and clinical characteristics of patients with cerebral arteriovenous malformations associated with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2000;21:1016–1020.
6. Willinsky RA, Lasjaunias P, Terbrugge K, Burrows P. Multiple cerebral arteriovenous malformations (AVMs). Review of our experience from 203 patients with cerebral vascular lesions. *Neuroradiology*. 1990;32:207–210.
7. Bideau A, Plauchu H, Brunet G, Robert J. Epidemiological investigation of Rendu-Osler disease in France: its geographical distribution and prevalence. *Popul*. 1989;44:3–22.
8. Kjeldsen AD, Vase P, Green A. Hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia: a population-based study of prevalence and mortality in Danish patients. *J Intern Med*. 1999;245:31–39.
9. Dakeishi M, Shioya T, Wada Y, Shindo T, Otaka K, Manabe M, et al. Genetic epidemiology of hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia in a local community in the northern part of Japan. *Hum Mutat*. 2002;19:140–148.
10. Plauchu H, de Chadarevian JP, Bideau A, Robert JM. Age-related clinical profile of hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia in an epidemiologically recruited population. *Am J Med Genet*. 1989;32:291–297.
11. Garcia-Monaco R, Taylor W, Rodesch G, Alvarez H, Burrows P, Coubes P, et al. Pial arteriovenous fistula in children as presenting manifestation of Rendu-Osler-Weber disease. *Neuroradiology*. 1995;37:60–64.
12. Faughnan ME, Palda VA, Garcia-Tsao G, Geisthoff UW, McDonald J, Proctor DD, et al. International guidelines for the diagnosis and management of hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. *J Med Genet*. 2011;48:73–87.
13. Fulbright RK, Chaloupka JC, Putman CM, Sze GK, Merriam MM, Lee GK, et al. Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: prevalence and spectrum of cerebrovascular malformations. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 1998;19:477–484.
14. Maher CO, Piegras DG, Brown RD Jr, Friedman JA, Pollock BE. Cerebrovascular manifestations in 321 cases of hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. *Stroke*. 2001;32:877–882.
15. Morgan MK, Zurin AA, Harrington T, Little N. Changing role for preoperative embolisation in the management of arteriovenous malformations of the brain. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2000;7:527–530.
16. Willemsse RB, Mager JJ, Westermann CJ, Overtoom TT, Mauser H, Wolbers JG. Bleeding risk of cerebrovascular malformations in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. *J Neurosurg*. 2000;92:779–784.
17. Easey AJ, Wallace GM, Hughes JM, Jackson JE, Taylor WJ, Shovlin CL. Should asymptomatic patients with hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT) be screened for cerebral vascular malformations? Data from 22 061 years of HHT patient life. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2003;74:743–748.
18. Krings T, Ozanne A, Chng SM, Alvarez H, Rodesch G, Lasjaunias PL. Neurovascular phenotypes in hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia patients according to age. Review of 50 consecutive patients aged 1 day–60 years. *Neuroradiology*. 2005;47:711–720.
19. Putman CM, Chaloupka JC, Fulbright RK, Awad IA, White RI Jr, Fayad PB. Exceptional multiplicity of cerebral arteriovenous malformations associated with hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (Osler-Weber-Rendu syndrome). *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 1996;17:1733–1742.
20. Kikuchi K, Kowada M, Sasajima H. Vascular malformations of the brain in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (Rendu-Osler-Weber disease). *Surg Neurol*. 1994;41:374–380.
21. Joint Writing Group of the Technology Assessment Committee American Society of Interventional and Therapeutic Neuroradiology; Joint Section on Cerebrovascular Neurosurgery a Section of the American Association of Neurological Surgeons and Congress of Neurological Surgeons; Section of Stroke and the Section of Interventional Neurology of the American Academy of Neurology, Atkinson RP, Awad IA, Batjer HH, Dowd CF, Furlan A, Giannotta SL, et al. Reporting terminology for brain arteriovenous malformation clinical and radiographic features for use in clinical trials. *Stroke*. 2001;32:1430–1442.
22. Shovlin CL, Guttmacher AE, Buscarini E, Faughnan ME, Hyland RH, Westermann CJ, et al. Diagnostic criteria for hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (Rendu-Osler-Weber syndrome). *Am J Med Genet*. 2000;91:66–67.
23. Latino GA, Witterick I, Faughnan ME. Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: an underdiagnosed disease? [Abstract]. 2011; IX International HHT Scientific Conference, May 20–24, Kemer, Turkey. *Hematology Reports*, 2011;3:A19.
24. Bossler AD, Richards J, George C, Godmilow L, Ganguly A. Novel mutations in *ENG* and *ACVRL1* identified in a series of 200 individuals undergoing clinical genetic testing for hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT): correlation of genotype with phenotype. *Hum Mutat*. 2006;27:667–675.
25. Bayrak-Toydemir P, McDonald J, Markewitz B, Lewin S, Miller F, Chou LS, et al. Genotype–phenotype correlation in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: mutations and manifestations. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2006;140:463–470.
26. Lesca G, Olivieri C, Burnichon N, Pagella F, Carette MF, Gilbert-Dussardier B, et al. Genotype–phenotype correlations in hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: data from the French–Italian HHT network. *Genet Med*. 2007;9:14–22.
27. Letteboer TG, Mager JJ, Snijder RJ, Koeleman BP, Lindhout D, Ploos van Amstel JK, et al. Genotype–phenotype relationship in hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia. *J Med Genet*. 2006;43:371–377.
28. Sabba C, Pasculli G, Lenato GM, Suppressa P, Lastella P, Memeo M, et al. Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia: clinical features in *ENG* and *ALK1* mutation carriers. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2007;5:1149–1157.
29. Berg J, Porteous M, Reinhardt D, Gallione C, Holloway S, Umasunthar T, et al. Hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia: a questionnaire based study to delineate the different phenotypes caused by endoglin and *ALK1* mutations. *J Med Genet*. 2003;40:585–590.
30. Gallione CJ, Richards JA, Letteboer TG, Rushlow D, Prigoda NL, Leedom TP, et al. Smad4 mutations found in unselected HHT patients. *J Med Genet*. 2006;43:793–797.
31. Bayrak-Toydemir P, McDonald J, Akarsu N, Toydemir RM, Calderon F, Tuncali T, et al. A fourth locus for hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia maps to chromosome 7. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2006;140:2155–2162.
32. Cole SG, Begbie ME, Wallace GM, Shovlin CL. A new locus for hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT3) maps to chromosome 5. *J Med Genet*. 2005;42:577–582.
33. Pawlikowska L, Tran MN, Achrol AS, Ha C, Burchard EG, Choudhry S, et al. Polymorphisms in transforming growth factor-B-related genes *ALK1* and *ENG* are associated with sporadic brain arteriovenous malformations. *Stroke*. 2005;36:2278–2280.
34. Simon M, Franke D, Ludwig M, Aliashkevich AF, Koster G, Oldenburg J, et al. Association of a polymorphism of the *ACVRL1* gene with sporadic arteriovenous malformations of the central nervous system. *J Neurosurg*. 2006;104:945–949.
35. Simon M, Schramm J, Ludwig M, Ziegler A. Author reply to letter by Young WL et al, 'Arteriovenous malformation.' *J Neurosurg*. 2007;106:732–733.
36. Mahmoud M, Allinson KR, Zhai Z, Oakenfull R, Ghandi P, Adams RH, et al. Pathogenesis of arteriovenous malformations in the absence of endoglin. *Circ Res*. 2010;106:1425–1433.
37. Park SO, Wankhede M, Lee YJ, Choi EJ, Fliess N, Choe SW, et al. Real-time imaging of de novo arteriovenous malformation in a mouse model of hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia. *J Clin Invest*. 2009;119:3487–3496.
38. Hao Q, Zhu Y, Su H, Shen F, Yang GY, Kim H, et al. VEGF induces more severe cerebrovascular dysplasia in endoglin^{+/-} than in alk1^{+/-} mice. *Transl Stroke Res*. 2010;1:197–201.